Date: Tue, 5 Jul 94 14:05:53 PDT

From: Info-Hams Mailing List and Newsgroup <info-hams@ucsd.edu>

Errors-To: Info-Hams-Errors@UCSD.Edu

Reply-To: Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu

Precedence: Bulk

Subject: Info-Hams Digest V94 #746

To: Info-Hams

Info-Hams Digest Tue, 5 Jul 94 Volume 94 : Issue 746

Today's Topics:

AEA IsoLoop - Opinion

Amateur calls on auto license plates? (3 msgs)

Does CW as a pre-req REALLY Work? (3 msgs)

Extra-teressial beacon

F.E.M.A Freq.

Humorous Field Day Stories?

IC229H

QRP- NN1G wiring help?

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu> Send subscription requests to: <Info-Hams-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu> Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Info-Hams Digest are available (by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/info-hams".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: Tue, 5 Jul 1994 17:46:09 GMT

From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!swrinde!cs.utexas.edu!convex!seas.smu.edu!vivaldi!rsd0!

usenet@network.ucsd.edu

Subject: AEA IsoLoop - Opinion

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <9406300245531133@michaelr.com> ray.wade@michaelr.com (Ray Wade)
writes:

- > DB> "You can fool some of the people all of the time, and you can fool
- > DB> all of the people some of the time, but you can't fool all of the
- > DB> people all of the time."- Abraham Lincoln, KZ10

Gee, I didn't know ole Abe was a ham.

Date: Tue, 5 Jul 1994 16:19:26 GMT

From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!library.ucla.edu!psgrain!qiclab!egreen!egreen!

jmollan@network.ucsd.edu

Subject: Amateur calls on auto license plates?

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

I have had call letter plates for nearly 20 years and never have had a problem. Actually, lots of pin-head thieves out there think my car is a government vehicle and steer clear of it.

Also, there is very little resale market for hot ham gear.

The worst I have ever lost is an antenna, and that was mistaken for a CB antenna during the CB craze in the 70s.

I have successfully run hf, 2 meter and 220Mhz with no problems.

73 and keep on mobiling

John AE7P

Date: 5 Jul 1994 10:36:37 -0700

From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!library.ucla.edu!csulb.edu!paris.ics.uci.edu!

news.claremont.edu!kaiwan.com!not-for-mail@network.ucsd.edu

Subject: Amateur calls on auto license plates?

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Jeff Kashinsky (jeff@ses.sel.sony.com) wrote:

- : In article <2vbdpk\$8tm@vixen.cso.uiuc.edu>, dhughes@prairienet.org (Dan Hughes) writes:
- : |>
- : |> I see a lot of vehicles with ham calls on their plates, but I am wary of
- : |> doing this for fear that the specialized plates are a billboard to
- : |> thieves that there might be expensive radio equipment in the vehicle.
- : |>
- : |> Any comments pro or con? Thanks! ---Dan, N9XDK
- : |> --
- : One data point:
- : My car with call letter plates was recently a target. The in dash
- : aftermarket radio/CD player was taken. The 2 meter rig was undisturbed.

```
: --
: Jeff WU2A/6
: reply to: jeff@sec.sel.sony.com
: phone: (408) 955-4116
Never had a problem myself and have my license plate since '90 so ...
//
// Paul W. Smietan INET: pws@kaiwan.com
// Envoy Systemes
                      Voice 714.770.3269 FAX: 714.770.5692
// 22852 Taurus Lane Lake Forest, CA
                                             92630-4928
// Flames: /dev/null ARS: KN6PH
// "Bebop is the music of the future" - Dexter Gordon
//
Date: 5 Jul 94 15:30:23 -0500
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!library.ucla.edu!europa.eng.gtefsd.com!ulowell!woods.uml.edu!
martinja@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Amateur calls on auto license plates?
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu
In article <2vbdpk$8tm@vixen.cso.uiuc.edu>, dhughes@prairienet.org
(Dan Hughes) wrote:
>
> I see a lot of vehicles with ham calls on their plates, but I am wary of
> doing this for fear that the specialized plates are a billboard to
> thieves that there might be expensive radio equipment in the vehicle.
> Any comments pro or con? Thanks! ---Dan, N9XDK
I've had ham tags on my vehicle(s) since 1983 and have never had a problem.
That doesn't mean I take it for granted either. I do have the mobile mount
```

: Thieves want what they can turn into ready cash.

I've had ham tags on my vehicle(s) since 1983 and have never had a problem. That doesn't mean I take it for granted either. I do have the mobile mount for my two meter rig mounted under the dash. But the two meter rig hasn't been in the vehicle for over a year. I use a handheld when I run mobile now.

Guess when one looks into my vehicle all they see is the mobile mount with wires terminating into nowhere nearby. You gonna steal that? The mobile rig is in the house being used for packet operations. Of course if anything is going to be stolen it will be the RS PA amplifier I plug the audio from the HT into, along with a dash mounted Motorola speaker.

I have to let you know that I don't have a ham call license plate right now since moving to Massachusetts. I have a Veteran's plate. I don't like having a lightning bolt going through my callsign like they do here. Kinda tacky if

you ask me. But I have call plates from Mississippi, New Hampshire, and New Mexico.

But how would you like to have a plate with your callsign KA5\MWD? The \ is certainly not a lightning bolt...but with my present call, WK1V a lightning bolt wouldn't look good after the "1". Oh well. Preference....

Cheers! -jim- WK1V

Date: 5 Jul 1994 13:45:31 -0400

From: news1.digex.net!digex.net!not-for-mail@uunet.uu.net

Subject: Does CW as a pre-req REALLY Work?

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

It seems the majority of hams think CW is an effective pre-requisite to get on HF. I'm going to challenge this. (BTW, 90% of my HF ops is CW, before you start flaming me). I personally prefer CW, it seems like the more courteous mode, these days. That's my PERSONAL preference. CW is just another mode of operation after all, like RTTY, packet SSTV, etc.

If CW is such an effective filter, and all those on HF have 'passed' this 'initiation' then please explain the poor operating practices on the bands. It appears that 20, 40, and 80M are practically over-run by individuals w/a twisted comprehension of what is 'acceptable'. For example, after verifying that a frequency on 40M was not in use I started a QSO w/another ham. 5 minutes into our QSO we were interrupted and told that a net was about to start on that frequency. We didn't budge, after all nobody 'owns' any frequency, right? There was obviously clear room around us, but the 'net' moved up 1 khz and started up, totally interfering w/our QSO in progress. After finishing the QSO I moved up to see who the 'net' was and heard these fellow 'hams' talking about how I and the other ham had moved off 'their' net freq and the reply was 'guess our kilowatts' did the 'trick'.

The more common practice is someone from a 'net' calling others in the net with no response, right on top of someone calling CQ. Hams call this kind of practice 'stepping' on someone on CB. What's the difference?

No radio amateur can say that CW is a really effective filter anymore. It's pathetic that the tests don't REALLY STRESS 'GOOD' operating practices. Make the test technically challenging, and make sure the individual knows his operating procedures. CW should be a mode of operation. I'm still in favor of some type of CW test but testing at 20 WPM doesn't tell me how good an amateur is, just that he's fast. (BTW, the previously described

garbage doesn't seem to appear in the Extra-class portions of the bands as much as it does elsewhere, and that reflects the TECHNICAL challenge of the test). Give an individual a REAL technical/operational test and reduce the CW requirements, maybe that will bring in some fresh amateur operators who will have something TECHNICAL to contribute. The only folks who'll object are those closed 'net' operators who don't want to give up 'their' frequencies.

It took me 17 years of hamming as a General class, vollying between CW and SSB, to come to these conclusions. I'll stick to CW when I want courteous, hassle-free operation; I'll venture up on SSB when I'm daring and want to call CQ on ,oh-no, someone's 'net' frequency. Funny, my ARRL handbook doesn't list any 'net' frequencies...I must not have the 'net' version...

Andy

Date: 5 Jul 1994 19:37:16 GMT

From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!usc!elroy.jpl.nasa.gov!netline-fddi.jpl.nasa.gov!sookit!

rspear@network.ucsd.edu

Subject: Does CW as a pre-req REALLY Work?

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Andy Domonkos (domonkos@access.digex.net) wrote:

[many deletions]

- : individuals w/a twisted comprehension of what is 'acceptable'. For
- : example, after verifying that a frequency on 40M was not in use I
- : started a QSO w/another ham. 5 minutes into our QSO we were interrupted
- : and told that a net was about to start on that frequency. We didn't
- : budge, after all nobody 'owns' any frequency, right? There was obviously
- : clear room around us, but the 'net' moved up 1 khz and started up, totally
- : interfering w/our QSO in progress. After finishing the QSO I moved up to
- : see who the 'net' was and heard these fellow 'hams' talking about how I
- : and the other ham had moved off 'their' net freq and the reply was
- : 'guess our kilowatts' did the 'trick'.

[more deletions]

andy - i'm not sure who was guilty of poor operating practice ... seems to me that the two of you could move alot easier than an entire scheduled net. if i were requested reasonably politely to move for a net, i'm sure that i would do it.

btw, i'm a tech+ and use code almost exclusively on hf (even tho' 10m phone *is* fun!).

regards, richard kd6lwd

rspear@sookit.jpl.nasa.gov
all disclaimers apply

Date: 5 Jul 1994 15:03:08 -0400

From: newstf01.cr1.aol.com!search01.news.aol.com!not-for-mail@uunet.uu.net

Subject: Does CW as a pre-req REALLY Work?

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Let me throw in my 2 cents:

I am a tech class ham and have had my ticket for about 7 months. I got my license to get away from the foul mouth people that inhabit the CB bands. In all my months of operation (and about a year of just listening), I have only heard one gentleman use one profane word, and he immediatley appologized for his slip. The first time I listened to HF transmissions on 80 meters, I heard enough 4 letter words for an R-rated movie. I have listened to other QSOs and have heard even more profanity. I don't talk like that and I don't want to listen to it.

So, to bring this post to a close, the real barrier for me is not CW, but it is the desire NOT to listen to a bunch of foul-mouthed CBers turning our HF amateur bands into other CB bands. I am very content with my tech priviliages. I wonder how many other hams have been kept away for the same reason.

I don't intend this post to offend anyone, but I just want to let everyone know that there are other barriers besides learning CW

73s de KE4ITL Warren Whitby wwhitby@aol.com

Date: Tue, 5 Jul 1994 19:25:47 GMT

From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!usc!howland.reston.ans.net!cs.utexas.edu!convex!

darwin.sura.net!jabba.ess.harris.com!news.ess.harris.com!

adm01.rfc.comm.harris.com!gdian22@network.ucsd.edu

Subject: Extra-teressial beacon

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

: 1) There's nobody there

: 2) There's somebody there, but they're just not listening

- : 3) There's somebody there, they heard us, but they just don't care
- : 4) There's somebody there, they heard us, but they're paranoid
- : 5) There's somebody there, they heard us, and they're on their way to take

: over

You left two possibilities out:

- 6) There's somebody out there, they heard us, but they don't want to work QRP planets.
- 7) There's somebody out there, they heard us, but since we're visitors from another call district, they won't answer us on their repeater.

8^) 73, gary n2jgu

Date: 5 Jul 1994 17:46:38 GMT

From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!swrinde!howland.reston.ans.net!EU.net!uknet!bnr.co.uk!

corpgate!news.utdallas.edu!rdxsunhost.aud.alcatel.com!aur.alcatel.com!

aurxc8.aur.alcatel.com!ghoda@network.ucsd.edu

Subject: F.E.M.A Freq. To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Subscribe to snet-1 mailing list for more information:

Send E-mail to: Majordomo@world.std.com

in the body of the message include:

subscribe snet-l 'your full e-mail'

Enjoy!

Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA)

From: chuck.zeps@softnet.com Newsgroups: rec.radio.shortwave Subject: Re: FEMA Frequencies Date: 1 Jul 1994 01:23:45 GMT

Here's the freqs I have, HF/VHF/UHF. I'd be interested to know

if anyone has picked up anything related on HF.

FEMA VHF/HF FREQUENCIES

VHF:	138.225 138.575 139.10 139.825 139.45 139.225 139.95 140.025 140.90	14 14 14 14 14 14	1.725 1.875 1.95 2.025 2.35 3.60 3.625 3.10 4.8625	165.6 166.2 167.9 168.3 169.2 169.8 170.2	225 975 35 25 60 375 20	JHF:	409.	125
HF:								
CH.	FREQ	СН	FREQ	СН	FREQ		СН	FREQ
01	2320	19	6151	37	13633	3	55	18744
02	2360	20	6176	38	13744	ļ.	56	19757
03	2377	21	6608	39	13780)	57	19969
04	2445	22	7348	40	13783	}	58	20027
05	2458	23	7428	41	14450)	59	20063
06	3341	24	8462	42	14776)	60	21866
07	3379	25	10184	43	14836)	61	21919
80	3388	26	10483	44	14885	<u>, </u>	62	22983
09	4603	27	10184	45	14899		63	23028
10	4780	28	11721	46	14908	3	64	23390
11	5211	29	11801	47	15464	ļ.	65	23451
12	5378	30	11957	48	15509)	66	23550
13	5402	31	11994	49	15532		67	23814
14	5821	32	12009	50	15708		68	24008
15	5961	33	12129	51	16201		69	24282
16	6049	34	12216	52	16430		70	24526
17	6106	35	12219	53	17519		71	24819
18	6108	36	13446	54	17649)		

All HF frequencies in Khz., most I have heard have been USB. Note that the channel's are referred to by "Foxtrot" designators, as in "Foxtrot 32".

FEMA Regions

```
Callsign Location
WGY900 FEMA Washington, DC
WGY911 Telecommunications Branch
District 1
WGY901 Maynard, Massachusetts
WGY921 Concord, NH
WGY931 Montpelier, Vt
WGY941 Augusta, ME
```

```
WGY951
          Hartford, CT
WGY961
          Framingham, MA
WGY971
          Providence, RI
        District 2
WGY902
          NEW YORK CITY, NEW YORK
WGY932
          Puerto Rico, Civil Defense
WGY942
          Albany, NY
WGY982
          North Trenton, NJ
WGY992
          San Juan, Puerto Rico
        District 3
WGY903
          OLNEY, MARYLAND (Net Control Station)
WGY923
          Harrisburg, PA
          Pikesville, MD
WGY933
WGY943
          Charlestown, WV
          Delaware City, Delaware
WGY953
WGY963
          Richmond, VA
WGY983
          Washington, D.C.
        District 4
WGY904
          THOMASVILLE, GEORGIA (3rd alternate NCS)
WGY914
          Canal Zone, Balboa Heights, Panama
WGY924
          Nashville, TN
WGY934
          Columbia, SC
WGY944
          Atlanta, GA
WGY954
          Montgomery, AL
WGY964
          Jackson, MS
WGY974
          Tallahassee, FL
WGY984
          Raleigh, NC
WGY994
          Frankfort, KY
        District 5
WGY905
          BATTLE CREEK, MICHIGAN (4th alternate NCS)
WGY925
          Madison, WI
          St Paul, MN
WGY935
WGY945
          Columbus, OH
WGY955
          Springtield, IL
WGY965
          Indianapolis, IN
WGY975
          Lansing, MI
        District 6
WGY906
          DENTON, TEXAS (2nd alternate NCS)
WGY926
          Oklahoma City, OK
WGY936
          Santa Fe, NM
WGY946
          Baton Rouge, LA
WGY956
          Austin, TX
WGY966
          Conway, AR
        District 7
          KANSAS CITY, MISSOURI
WGY907
WGY947
          Des Moines, IA
WGY957
          Lincoln, Ne
WGY977
          Jefferson City, MO
```

```
WGY997
         Topeka, KS
       District 8
WGY908
         DENVER, COLORADO (1 st alternate NCS)
WGY928
         Pierre, SD
WGY938
         Cheyenne, WY
WGY948
         Bismark, ND
         Helena, MT
WGY958
WGY968
         Golden, CO
WGY998
         Salt Lake City, UT
       District 9
         SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA
WGY909
WGY929
         Carson City, NV
         Sacramento, CA
WGY939
WGY949
         Phoenix, AZ
WGY959
         Honolulu, HI
WGY979
         American Samoa
       District 10
WGY910
         BOTHELL, WASHINGTON
WGY920
         Boise, ID
WGY930
         Olympia, WA
WGY940
         Salem, OR
WGY960
         Soldotna, AK
WGY970
         Juneau, AK
WGY980
         Alcantra, AK
WGY911 Telecommunications
Management; FEMA HO, Wash DC
WGY912 FEMA Special Facility
Berryville, VA
WGY915 National Communications
System HQ, Washington, DC
    The above information may be freely distributed, so long
    as the file remains entirely intact and reference is made
    as to the source:
c.zeps@genie.geis.com
-----73s------
______
```

Date: 5 Jul 1994 19:38:24 GMT

From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!usc!howland.reston.ans.net!gatech!newsxfer.itd.umich.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!psgrain!news.tek.com!tekgp4.cse.tek.com!royle@network.ucsd.edu

Subject: Humorous Field Day Stories?

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

I've got one.

It was 1963. I'd just graduated from high school, and was invited by the Martin Marietta radio club to operate their 20m CW station on the night shift like I'd done the previous year. The setup was south of Denver on a sagebrush-covered prairie. We had a KWM-2, and the antenna was a Hornet Tribander on a 20-foot aluminum tower, guyed to the sagebrush. Rotation was by means of a rope tied to the boom and also tied off to the sagebrush.

I got off work in the late afternoon, and my Technician-class friend (I'll call him DR) and I arrived a little before dark. After convincing the onduty crew I could handle a key, they left the station to DR and me. After a while, it seemed to me that we weren't doing as well as I thought we should. All beams were a little different, and you couldn't always tell by the physical length of the elements which element in a tribander was the director, so I wondered if maybe the beam was turned the wrong way. So I asked DR to turn the beam while I listened to the signals. "Where's the rotating rope?" he asked. I shone the flashlight out the tent door until it intersected the rope, and out he went. For a while I didn't hear any change in signal strength. Then I heard DR mutter, "Man, this sure is tied on tight!" . . . "Ah, there I've got it". . . About then it dawned on me what was going on. But too late. "Oh, no!" from DR. . . then the crash, and the rig went silent. The good news is that it missed the tent. The bad news is that the beam was completely destroyed. The gamma match was a pretzel, and the element tubing was collapsed in several places.

We tried to find the owner of the beam but he was asleep, and we decided not to wake him up to tell him the news. In the meantime, we backed up my car (actually, my parents') and kluged the feedline to the Master Mobile whip I had on a bumper mount. We used that antenna until morning. Once in a while somebody would come by with the comment "Gee, I thought we had a beam for 20. . ." The dreaded moment arrived when the owner awoke. He was a forgiving sort and apparently fairly well-heeled. This was his "spare" beam, and he said to forget it (to our great relief, because we didn't know how we'd be able to pay for it). He said they'd make a club project out of fixing it. We put the mobile whip up on the tower and it was the 20m antenna for the rest of Field Day.

Postscript: I went off to the service (as lots of people did about then). When I returned I went back to school and ended up rooming with DR at the U. of Colo. He had been going to school at the Denver extension. One of his classmates found out he was a ham, and offered to sell him a beam -- cheap. "What's wrong with it," DR wisely asked. The response: "Oh, it was on a

tower at Field Day a few years ago and some idiot untied the guy rope and smashed it." He didn't buy it. ("Idiot" or not, he wasn't stupid. . .)

Somehow, Field Day has always seemed so uneventful since. . .

73,

Roy Lewallen, W7EL roy.lewallen@tek.com

Date: 5 Jul 1994 12:53:05 -0400

From: newstf01.cr1.aol.com!search01.news.aol.com!not-for-mail@uunet.uu.net

Subject: IC229H

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <48355@mindlink.bc.ca>, Sam_Oben@mindlink.bc.ca (Sam Oben)

writes:

Are you leaving the power supply on, or turning it off? I don't know much about the circuitry of the 229H but the memory may be drawing power even when the radio's power button is turned off. Try turning off the power on the radio, but keep the power supply on.

BTW, I have a similiar problem with a scanner hooked up to a 12vt. power supply. When I shut the power supply off for a few days, I will lose all of the frequencies stored in memory.

73s de KE4ITL Warren Whitby wwhitby@aol.com

Date: Tue, 5 Jul 1994 15:55:02 GMT

From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!swrinde!gatech!concert!hearst.acc.Virginia.EDU!murdoch!

galen.med.Virginia.EDU!wkm2y@network.ucsd.edu

Subject: QRP- NN1G wiring help?

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Howdy,

I have completed the boards for the NN1G kit, but need some help making sure I wire everything together correctly. If anyone has *successfully* completed this kit (which I understand is no small accomplishment) and would be willing to assist me, I would really appreciate it. I am a newcomer to

amateur radio and this will be my HF rig for a while. I can send a photocopy of the layout and you can draw lines where the connections go if that would work for you.

73 and thanks for any help! Bill Bill McCoy KE4JSU ------Date: Tue, 5 Jul 1994 17:16:40 GMT From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!swrinde!howland.reston.ans.net!pipex!spider! raft.spider.co.uk!jmorris@network.ucsd.edu To: info-hams@ucsd.edu References <FAUNT.94Jul1181923@netcom4.netcom.com>, <CsALB5.G2n@srgenprp.sr.hp.com>, <2vbr5d\$fhe@news.iastate.edu> Subject : Re: CW - THE ONLY MODE! In article <2vbr5d\$fhe@news.iastate.edu> wjturner@iastate.edu (William J. Turner) writes: [snip - sending left handed discussion] >I agree about sending and writing at the same time. (I've done it, but >it sure wasn't easy!) >However, it does cut down on fumbling for the pencil when you turn the >conversation over to the other ham. I'd say go for it!! Even easier, get into the habit of holding the pen[cil] while sending. It is awkward at first, but after a while you can tuck the pencil between some appropriate fingers and paddle away happily. Tricky to describe - but works well, and the pencil is always - err - to hand when you need it. 73, John.

John Morris != Spider Systems jmorris@spider.co.uk GM4ANB@GB7EDN.#77.GBR.EU

Date: 5 Jul 1994 18:31:17 GMT

From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!swrinde!gatech!newsxfer.itd.umich.edu!newsrelay.iastate.edu!

news.iastate.edu!wjturner@network.ucsd.edu

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <CsALB5.G2n@srgenprp.sr.hp.com>, <2vbr5d\$fhe@news.iastate.edu>,

<1994Jul5.171640.16247@spider.co.uk> Subject : Re: CW - THE ONLY MODE!

In article <1994Jul5.171640.16247@spider.co.uk>, jmorris@spider.co.uk (John Morris) writes:

- |> Even easier, get into the habit of holding the pen[cil] while sending.
- |> It is awkward at first, but after a while you can tuck the pencil
- |> between some appropriate fingers and paddle away happily. Tricky
- |> to describe but works well, and the pencil is always err to hand
- |> when you need it.

So, true. For me, it was no problem learning to send with my right hand (I do most things right-handed anyway) and write with my left (I can't quite write with my right hand yet...). I hardly ever take my right hand off the key, except to change the volume or RIT while copying.

It all depends on what you're used to. I hold pencil (or in my case pen) in one hand and key in the other. Neither hand moves far, and it works out well for me.

End of Info-Hams Digest V94 #746 ***********